

## Does the Williams Cycle apply to Mandarin Chinese?

As long observed, movement's landing site and locality domain correlate: raising-to-subjects (A-mvt.) may cross non-finite-TPs but not finite-CPs, whereas *wh*-movement ( $\bar{A}$ -mvt.) may cross finite-CPs. Traditionally, this is explained by the Ban-on-Improper-Movement (Chomsky 1973). Alternatively, this could be hard-wired in the grammar as **Williams Cycle (WC)** (Williams 1974, 2003, 2013): "movement to SpecXP cannot proceed from SpecYP or across YP, where Y is higher than X in the functional sequence," a view gaining renewed interest (Poole 2022, Bondarenko 2024, Meadows 2024). Recently, Yan & Meadows (2025) (YM25) propose that WC applies to Mandarin, which conflicts with Mandarin's allowance of hyperraising-to-subjects (HR) across finite-CPs, a counter-example to WC (Chen 2023, 2025a; Lee & Yip 2024). To resolve this language-internal inconsistency, we reexamine YM25's evidence and argue **against** WC in Mandarin.

YM25 examine ❶ *object-topicalization* and ❷ *VP-Copying (VC)*, which may target **TP-external** or **TP-internal** positions. Assuming the functional sequence  $C \succ T \succ F \succ \text{Voice} \succ V$ , where CPs, TP, and FP are selected by 'know'-type, 'force'-type, and 'try'-type-verbs, respectively (Huang 2022), YM25 argue for a correlation between landing site and locality domain (1–2): TP-external object-topicalization and VC land in CP and may cross CP; TP-internal object-topicalization lands in TP and may cross TP (but not CP); TP-internal VC lands in FP and may cross FP (but not CP/TP).

Our counter-arguments are two-fold. ❶ TP-external and TP-internal object-topicalization differ not only in their locality domains (Qu 1994; Shyu 1995) (3) but also  $A/\bar{A}$ -properties, e.g., external- but not internal-topicalization reconstruct for Principle A (4), which has led Chen (2023, 2025b) to propose that external-topicalization is driven by **pure- $\bar{A}$ -features** [ $\text{TOP}$ ], whereas internal-topicalization is derived by **composite- $A/\bar{A}$ -features** [ $\phi + \text{TOP}$ ] (cf. van Urk 2015; Lohninger et al. 2022). Crucially, the apparent WC effects follow if Mandarin C-heads only host pure- $[\bar{A}]$ -probes (while Voice-heads host composite- $[A/\bar{A}]$ -probes): internal-topicalization via C leads to an improper *A/ $\bar{A}$ -after- $\bar{A}$*  chain (5).

❷ Contra YM25's (partial) observation that, unlike external-VC, internal-VC can only cross "FPs" selected by 'try'-type-verbs (6), we argue that (internal-)VC is not constrained by locality but by subject-identity between the moved and in-situ VPs. 'Try'-type-verbs vs. 'force'-type-verbs are effectively subject-control-verbs vs. object-control-verbs, which differ in the **embedded-subject/PRO's (non-)identity with the matrix-subject**. When this difference is neutralized, e.g., via reflexive objects with 'force'-type-verbs, internal-VC becomes possible (7a). Internal-VC may even cross CPs selected by 'know'-type-verbs when embedded- and matrix-subjects are co-referential (7b). We propose that VC moves not a bare VP, but a  **$\nu\text{P}$  containing a subject-trace**, which must reconstruct for the subject-trace to be bound by the same subject as that in the in-situ VP (cf. Huang 1993 on VP-fronting). The contrast in (6–7) follows from different reconstruction possibilities: External-VC as pure- $\bar{A}$ -movement can reconstruct to establish subject-identity (8a). By contrast, internal-VC as composite- $A/\bar{A}$  movement resists reconstruction (4), thus subject-identity must be achieved via co-reference (8b). Otherwise, the subject-trace in the moved  $\nu\text{P}$  would be problematically bound by a distinct subject (6a–b).

To conclude, the apparent WC effects in Mandarin argued by YM25 are either reducible to distribution of composite-probes, or do not stand due to partial generalization. This is a welcome result given that Mandarin allows HR. The upshot is that WC must be *parameterized* and *cannot* be universal.

- (1) Object topicalization
- a. [CP {Obj}] [TP {\*Obj}] ...V<sub>know</sub> [CP [TP [FP [VoiceP ...t...]]]]  
 b. [CP {Obj}] [TP {Obj}] ...V<sub>force</sub> [TP [FP [VoiceP ...t...]]]  
 c. [CP {Obj}] [TP {Obj}] ...V<sub>try</sub> [FP [VoiceP ...t...]]
- (2) VP Copying (generalization to be challenged)
- a. [CP {VP}] [TP [FP {\*VP}] ...V<sub>know</sub> [CP [TP [FP [VoiceP ...<VP>...]]]]  
 b. [CP {VP}] [TP [FP {\*VP}] ...V<sub>force</sub> [TP [FP [VoiceP ...<VP>...]]]  
 c. [CP {VP}] [TP [FP {VP}] ...V<sub>try</sub> [FP [VoiceP ...<VP>...]]]
- (3) a. {Zhe-shi<sub>i</sub>} meiyou-ren {\*zhe-shi<sub>i</sub>} xiangxin/zhidao [CP Lisi hui zuo <sub>i</sub>].  
 this-matter no-person this-matter believe/know Lisi will do  
 ‘{This matter}, no one {\*this matter}, believes/knows that Lisi will do (it).’  
 b. {Zhe-shi<sub>i</sub>} meiyou-ren<sub>j</sub> {zhe-shi<sub>i</sub>} dasuan/changshi [PRO<sub>j</sub> bipo/mingling Lisi<sub>k</sub> [PRO<sub>k</sub> zuo <sub>i</sub>]].  
 this-matter no-person this-matter plan/try force/order Lisi do  
 ‘{This matter}, no one {this matter}, planned/tried to force/order Lisi to do (it).’
- (4) {Ta-ziji<sub>i/j</sub>-de pengyou} Zhangsan<sub>i</sub> {ta-ziji<sub>i/\*j</sub>-de pengyou} dasuan [PRO<sub>i</sub> bipo Lisi<sub>j</sub> [PRO<sub>j</sub> ma <sub>i</sub>]].  
 3SG-self’s friend Zhangsan 3SG-self’s friend plan force Lisi scold  
 ‘{His<sub>i/j</sub> friend}, Zhangsan<sub>i</sub>, {his<sub>i/\*j</sub>} friend, planned to force Lisi<sub>j</sub> to scold (him).’
- (5) \*[[TP [TopP **Obj**<sub>j</sub>]<sub>φ</sub>, [TOP] Top<sub>φ+TOP</sub> ... [VoiceP ... t<sub>j</sub> ... [CP t<sub>j</sub> C<sub>TOP</sub> ... t<sub>j</sub> (...)]]]]
- (6) a. {Zuo zhe-shi} Zhangsan {\*zuo zhe-shi} xiangxin/zhidao [CP Lisi hui zuo-de hen kuai].  
 do this-matter Zhangsan do this-matter believe/know Lisi will do-DE DEG fast  
 ‘{Doing this matter}, Zhangsan {\*doing this matter} believes/knows that Lisi will do fast.’  
 b. {Zuo zhe-shi} Zhangsan {\*zuo zhe-shi} bipo/mingling Lisi<sub>j</sub> [PRO<sub>j</sub> zuo-de hen kuai].  
 do this-matter Zhangsan do this-matter force/order Lisi do-DE DEG fast  
 ‘{Doing this matter}, Zhangsan {\*doing this matter} forced/order Lisi to do fast.’  
 c. {Zuo zhe-shi} Zhangsan<sub>j</sub> {zuo zhe-shi} dasuan/changshi [PRO<sub>j</sub> zuo-de hen kuai].  
 do this-matter Zhangsan do this-matter plan/try do-DE DEG fast  
 ‘{Doing this matter}, Zhangsan {doing this matter} planned/tried to do fast.’
- (7) a. {Zuo zhe-shi} Zhangsan<sub>k</sub> {zuo zhe-shi} bipo/mingling ta-ziji<sub>k</sub> [PRO<sub>k</sub> zuo-de hen kuai].  
 do this-matter Zhangsan do this-matter force/order 3SG-self do-DE DEG fast  
 ‘{Doing this matter}, Zhangsan {doing this matter} forced/order himself to do fast.’  
 b. {Zuo zhe-shi} Zhangsan<sub>k</sub> {zuo zhe-shi} xiangxin/zhidao [CP ta<sub>k/\*i</sub> hui zuo-de hen kuai].  
 do this-matter Zhangsan do this-matter believe/know 3SG will do-DE DEG fast  
 ‘{Doing this matter}, Zhangsan {doing this matter} believes/knows that he will do fast.’
- (8) a. [TopP [<sub>VP</sub> t<sub>k/\*i</sub> V<sub>j</sub>-O]<sub>φ+TOP</sub>] Top<sub>TOP</sub> [TP Subj<sub>i</sub> [VoiceP ‘force’ REFL<sub>k</sub> [TP PRO<sub>k</sub> ... [<sub>VP</sub> t<sub>k</sub> V<sub>j</sub> deP ]]]]  
 reconstruction  
 b. [TP Subj<sub>k/\*i</sub> [TopP [<sub>VP</sub> t<sub>k/\*i</sub> V<sub>j</sub>-O]<sub>φ+TOP</sub>] Top<sub>φ+TOP</sub> [VoiceP ‘force’ REFL<sub>k/\*i</sub> [TP PRO<sub>k</sub> ... [<sub>VP</sub> t<sub>k</sub> V<sub>j</sub> deP ]]]]

**Selected References.** • Bondarenko, T. (2024) Getting by without movement: Building & interpreting indirect wh-dependencies. Ms., Harvard University. • Chen, F. (2023) Obscured universality in Mandarin. PhD Diss., MIT. • Chen, F. (2025a). Passivization and composite A/Ā-movement in the Mandarin BEI-construction. *NLLT*, 1-87. • Chen, F. (2025b) Generalized composite probing in Mandarin. In *WCCFL 42*. • Huang, C.-T. J. (1993). Reconstruction and the structure of VP: Some theoretical consequences. *LI*, 103-138. • Huang, C.-T. J. (2022) Finiteness, opacity, and Chinese clausal architecture. *New explorations in Chinese theoretical syntax*. 17-76. • Lee, T. T.-M. & K.-F. Yip (2024) Hyperraising, evidentiality, and phase deactivation. *NLLT*, 42(4), 1527-1578. • Lohninger, M., I. Kovač, & S. Wurmbbrand (2022) From prolepsis to hyperraising. *Philosophies*, 7(2).32, 1-40. • Meadows, T. (2024) Size matters: Clause structure and locality constraints in Swahili relatives. PhD Diss., Queen Mary University of London. • Poole, E. (2022) Improper case. *NLLT*, 41(1), 347-397. • Qu, Y. (1994) Object noun phrase dislocation in Mandarin Chinese. PhD Diss., UBC. • van Urk, Coppe (2015) A uniform syntax for phrasal movement: A case study of Dinka Bor. PhD Diss., MIT. • Williams, E. (1974) Rule ordering in syntax. PhD Diss., MIT. • Yan, Q. C. & T. Meadows (2025) Improper verb doubling. Ms., Queen Mary University of London & University of Geneva. URL: <https://ling.auf.net/lingbuzz/008904>.